Numeric error type	Absolute frequency	Relative frequency [%]
from a 0 a 6	17	11.5
from a 0 an 8	18	12.2
from a 0 a 9	8	5.4
from a 0 a 2	3	2.0
from a 0 a 7	3	2.0
from a 0 a 1	2	1.4
from a 0 a 3	1	0.7
from a 5 a 3	6	4.0
from a 5 a 6	5	3.4
from a 5 a 9	3	2.0
from a 5 a 1	6	4.0
from a 5 a 4	2	1.4
from a 5 an 8	3	2.0
from a 1 a 7	17	11.5
from a 1 a 4	2	1.4
from a 1 a 0	2	1.4
from a 9 a 0	2	1.4
from a 9 a 2	1	0.7
from a 9 a 3	3	2.0
from a 4 a 7	1	0.7
from a 4 a 9	3	2.0
from a 2 a 0	2	1.4
from a 2 a 7	5	3.4
from a 2 a 9	3	2.0
from a 2 a 1	1	0.7
from a 2 a 3	3	2.0
from a 2 a 4	1	0.7
from a 2 an 8	2	1.4
from a 7 a 2	1	0.7
from a 7 a 4	1	0.7
from an 8 a 0	4	2.7
from an 8 a 9	1	0.7
from an 8 a 6	1	0.7
from an 8 a 1	1	0.7
from a 6 a 8	1	0.7
form a 3 a 2	4	2.7
from a 3 an 8	5	3.4
from a 3 a 9	1	0.7
from a 3 a 7	2	1.4
from a 3 a 5	1	0.7

Appendix: All occured numeric error types

Attachment 1 to: Uekoetter K, Ebert N, Stoffels A, Wigmann C, Schikowski T. Validation of the TeleForm scan workflow in the GNC health study on the example of the questionnaire on physical activity. GMS Med Inform Biom Epidemiol. 2021;17(1):Doc03. DOI: 10.3205/mibe000217