



Figure S4: Comparison of IAT types in the three study programs. Values are based on all participants = 100%. Multiple answers result in a total > 100%.

Table S9: Subgroup analysis by sex; the table lists the parameters for the descriptive and comparative statistics.

	Medicine		Civil engineering		Teaching degree	
	female	male	female	male	female	male
IATyes, qu. 5:	[*] MedM	[*] MedF				
- N _{IATyes} / N _{total}	121/163	54/90	16/54	27/85	22/62	7/24
- %	73%	59%	30%	32%	35%	29%
Most frequent IAT:	[*] MedM	[*] MedF				
- 1.	n ^{te} (64%)	n ^{te} (44%)	n ^{te} (26%)	n ^{te} (24%)	l ^{os} (15%)	l ^{os} , r ^{it} (17% each)
- 2.	l ^{os} (50%)	l ^{os} (39%)	l ^{os} (17%)	l ^{os} (14%)	r ^{it} (14%)	
- 3.	r ^{it} (46%)	i ^{ws} (34%)	i ^{gn} , s ^{ha} (9% each)	i ^{gn} , n ^{egprof} (11% each)	n ^{te} , i ^{gn} , n ^{egprof} (11% each)	n ^{te} , i ^{gn} (13% each)
- 4.	i ^{ws} (44%)	r ^{it} (32%)				
Severity of IAT:	[*] MedM	[*] MedF				
- not	20%	43%	31%	58%	18%	57%
- rather not	63%	42%	63%	27%	41%	43%
- rather	13%	15%	6%	15%	41%	0%
- severe	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Not all participants indicated their sex, therefore the total number is lower than the of participant total. For sex differences for students of a study program the indices indicate both significance and effect size: * \triangleq statistically significant + low effect size; ** \triangleq statistically significant + medium or large effect size; Index indicates the group differences: MedM = male medical students, MedF = female medical students. Abbreviations of IAT types: n^{te} \triangleq no teaching effect; l^{os} \triangleq lack of supervision; i^{ws} \triangleq insufficient work safety; r^{it} \triangleq requesting inadequate tasks; i^{gn} \triangleq ignoring; s^{ha} \triangleq shouting at, reprimanding; n^{egprof} \triangleq negative remarks about professional topic