Diagnosis of uncomplicated cystitis (UC)
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Abstract

Urinary tract infections are among the most common bacterial infections and are responsible for 25% of antibiotic prescriptions in primary care. This chapter presents current evidence from a systematic literature search with a focus on systematic reviews and recent guidelines on diagnosing uncomplicated cystitis (UC). Starting with a general approach on the diagnostic process in suspected UC the pros and cons of different diagnostic strategies are discussed.

Due to the high prevalence of UC the value of typical risk factors (like sexual intercourse) in increasing the pre-test probability is limited. In contrast the clinical presentation and the presence of typical symptoms are a cornerstone in diagnosing UC and differentiating it from complicated infections needing another diagnostic approach. The impact of typical symptoms like dysuria, frequency and hematuria based on their ability to increase the post-test probability are presented. In recent years diagnostic algorithms have been developed to increase the diagnostic accuracy of patients’ history alone or in combination with point of care tests. According to a diagnostic study the combination of three questions "Does the patient think she has a UTI?" "Is there at least considerable pain on micturition?" and "Is there vaginal irritation?" has the highest accuracy. When followed by dipstick testing (nitrite and blood) accuracy can be increased further. Other point of care tests including dipslide and microscopy are discussed as well. The ongoing debate about the most appropriate technique to obtain a urine sample is discussed based on a recent systematic review and a clinical trial. Management strategies using telephone-based algorithms or based on patients self-diagnosis have proven their clinical effectiveness. Nevertheless, their study design allows only limited conclusions regarding the diagnostic accuracy.

Summary of recommendations

Clinical diagnosis:

The diagnosis of acute uncomplicated cystitis can be made with a high probability based on a focused history of urinary irritative symptoms (dysuria, frequency and urgency) and the absence of new vaginal discharge, in those women who have no other risk factors for complicated urinary tract infections (LoE 2a, GoR B).

Explore alternative diagnoses and consider pelvic examination for women with symptoms of vaginal itch or discharge (LoE 2a, GoR B).

Laboratory diagnosis:

1. In women with medium or high pretest probability urine dipstick testing can be used to increase probability of acute uncomplicated cystitis (LoE 1b, GoR A).
2. Urine cultures are recommended for women with: i) suspected pyelonephritis; ii) recurrent infections or infections that do not resolve after adequate treatment; iii) if the diagnosis remains unclear (LoE 4, GoR B).
3. Colony-forming units (CFU) ≥10³ of a typical uropathogen is suggestive of acute uncomplicated cystitis in women presenting with symptoms of dysuria (LoE 3, GoR B).
Additional diagnostic studies:

Women who present with atypical symptoms of either acute uncomplicated cystitis or acute uncomplicated pyelonephritis, as well as those who fail to respond to appropriate antimicrobial therapy should be considered for additional diagnostic studies (LoE 4, GoR B).

1 Introduction

This chapter will focus on uncomplicated cystitis (UC) in women. UC is among the most common bacterial infections. More than every third (37%) of all women >15 years reported at least one infection in their lifetime. Recurrence is high with 29% of the women reporting more than one episode. Despite the frequency and clinical relevance diagnosing UC still is a challenging task. After discussing some general aspects of diagnosing UC a current overview on the value of clinical symptoms, urine sampling techniques, diagnostic validity of dipstick test and diagnostic algorithms will be given.

2 Methods

The literature search was conducted using the search strategy applied during the update on the German guideline on urinary tract infection. Next to a general search strategy a search strategy specific for diagnostic studies was used.


3 Results

The diagnostic process

When discussing the diagnostic workup of a disease, several aspects have to be taken into account:

- morbidity and mortality of the disease. How do physician and patient judge the disease? The more serious the disease the higher the intensity and diagnostic accuracy we should aim for. As UC is a potentially self-limiting disease costs and potential harms of a diagnostic work-up have to be considered carefully. This is in contrast to complicated infections like pyelonephritis, where a higher degree of diagnostic accuracy is warranted.
- applicability and usability of the diagnostic test.
- risks or harms associated with the tests
- patient preferences related to the diagnostic work-up

The aim of every diagnostic test is to increase the post-test probability. The extent to which a diagnostic test can increase the posttest probability depends on the quality of the test used. This quality is best
described by the tests sensitivity, specificity and likelihood ratio (LR).

Posttest probability further depends on pre test probability (i.e prevalence of the disease within the specific setting – for example prevalence of UC in women with dysuria presenting in emergency departments).

Like in any other diagnostic process the clinician has to decide which diagnostic approach is warranted. The options are

- a high sensitivity (majority of patients with the diagnosis are detected including a high rate of false positive results – this approach may include a high number of unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions) or
- a high specificity with a lower number of false positive but a higher number of false negative.

Diagnostic accuracy although depends on some relevant pretest probabilities like the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ABU) and UC in the setting under examination. The prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria depends on the age and setting. While in premenopausal women the rate of ABU is around 5% [2] this rate increases with age up to 20% in non-institutionalized female octogenarians [3].

Prevalence of ABU is important, as this condition increases the rate of false positive dipstick results. The consequence is that in patients with urinary catheter (leading to a rate of nearly 100% ABU) dipstick results cannot be used to rule in the diagnosis of UC.

Prevalence of UC in clinical studies ranges from 27–79% when women with suspected UC were to be included [4].

### Diagnosing UC

The diagnosis of UC can be established in a woman with typical symptoms and the confirmation of typical pathogens in the patients urine.

While sounding quite straightforward this diagnostic process can be challenging for several reasons.

1. **typical symptoms**
   - Which symptoms are specific for UC?
2. **patients’ urine**
   - How to obtain a urine sample to prove a UC?
3. **confirmation of pathogens**
   - Direct or indirect ways to confirm the presence of bacteria?

The gold standard for diagnosing UC is the presence of typical uropathogens in urine obtained by suprapubic aspiration and confirmed by urine culture. The presence of $10^5$ cfu/ml of a single uropathogen, has been the reference standard in the past [5]. In recent years lower colony count up to $10^3$ cfu/ml have been accepted. This change has to be taken into account, when comparing results of diagnostic studies.

Several barriers prevent this diagnostic gold standard to be applied in routine practice. Results of a urine culture need time and are therefore not available at the point of care. Nearly equivalent substitutes like gram stained microscopy requires the infrastructure and expertise often not available in the primary care setting.

Suprapubic aspiration is considered as too invasive by most general practitioners.

Therefore diagnosing of UC during the encounter has to rely on adequate history taking, clinical examination and results of available point of care testing like urinary dipstick.
**Risk factors for UC**

Risk factors are important in diagnosing UTI as their presence increases the pretest probability. However, many of the risk factors known have a high prevalence themselves and their relevance in clinical practice remains doubtful. Some risk factors are more relevant when discussing individual strategies in recurrent UTI.

In younger women, sexual intercourse and the use of diaphragm with spermicide have been described as a major risk factor [6].

Regarding postmenopausal women, prospective cohort studies confirmed sexual intercourse as a risk factor [7]. In Table 1, common established risk factors are presented.

**Clinical symptoms in UC**

Typical symptoms in patients with UC include dysuria (painful voiding), frequency (frequent voiding of urine), urgency (the urge to void immediately), and hematuria (presence of blood in urine), nocturia (awakening from sleep). Especially in elderly patients, new episodes of urine incontinence or changes in the mental status can be found.

Giessen et al. [4] evaluated the diagnostic accuracy in UTI when using different reference standards ($10^2$, $10^3$ and $10^5$ cfu/ml), they found six symptoms with diagnostic value (see table 2).

Irrespective of the threshold used, dysuria and frequency had the highest sensitivity – that means they
increase the probability that an UC is present. Hematuria has the highest specificity, if positive the presence of hematuria helps to rule in the diagnosis.

With a threshold of $10^3$ cfu the following symptoms increase the probability of a UC (see Table 2).

**Table 2: Symptoms and their predictive value in diagnosing UC**

(Results for vaginal discharge refer on a level of $10^2$ cfu/ml all other symptoms refer to a threshold of $10^3$ cfu/ml [4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Likelihood ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysuria</td>
<td>0.79 (0.72–0.85)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.31–0.49)</td>
<td>1.31 (1.18–1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>0.88 (0.83–0.92)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.14–0.31)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.03–1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>0.22 (0.18–0.27)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.81–0.91)</td>
<td>1.68 (1.06–2.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturia</td>
<td>0.59 (0.48–0.70)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.51–0.62)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.13–1.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency</td>
<td>0.62 (0.46–0.76)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.35–0.68)</td>
<td>1.28 (1.11–1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal discharge</td>
<td>0.15 (0.08–0.26)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.62–0.88)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.51–0.83)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results are partly supported by another systematic review [12].

In summary the absence of symptoms like dysuria and frequency helps to rule out the diagnosis of UC while the presence of hematuria helps to rule in the diagnosis. The presence of vaginal discharge reduces the probability of UC. One has to remind that the likelihood ratios of the different symptoms are quite small, meaning that the gain in diagnostic accuracy when applying the results is still small. For example: Assuming a pretest probability (prevalence) of 50% the presence of dysuria (LR 1.31) alone would increase the posttest probability to 56.7%.

**Presenting pattern**

Not all women suffering from UC are seeking medical help [1]. Those presenting to primary care have symptoms for a median of 3 days with urgency and dysuria being the dominating symptoms [13], [14]. Regarding severity daytime frequency was the most common symptom rated as moderately severe (78%) [13].

The role of lower abdominal pain remains unclear as two systematic reviews show different results. While Medina et al. [12] found, that suprapubic pain was a weak predictor (LR 0.81 (0.73–0.89)) for the absence of an uUTI, Giessen [4] found lower abdominal pain/suprapubic pain as a weak positive predictor (LR 1.06 (0.88–1.29)) – both using different effect models.

Clinical scores can result in high positive predictive value. Little et al. [15] found a PPV of 82% for patients with the combination of urine cloudiness, burning dysuria of any degree and nocturia of any degree. However the NPV were still quite low (40%).

**History taking in UTI**

Variables from patients history that increase the likelihood of an UTI were assessed in a prospective trial. Knothnerus et al. [16] found that having at least considerable pain during micturition, having any vaginal irritation, patients suspecting a UC can further help to assess the probability of a UC. The likelihood of women who think they have a UC does not depend on their previous experience [16].
A questionnaire to be filled out by the patient (acute cystitis symptom score) was developed by Alidjanov et al. [17]. The questionnaire inquires after typical symptoms (frequency, urgency, dysuria, suprapubic pain, hematuria) rated on a 4 point Likert scale. The authors report a 94% sensitivity and 90% specificity to predict UC when using a threshold of 6 points [17].

**Algorithm and self-diagnosis in UTI**

Different algorithms have tried to increase the diagnostic accuracy. A swedish RCT in an out-of-hour service could demonstrate no significant differences in terms of diagnostic accuracy (44 vs. 31% positive cultures) or time to symptom resolution (79% vs 72% at day four) between standard and algorithm based care. The algorithm only included typical symptoms and their severity (mild, moderate, strong), dipstick results were not considered in the algorithm [18].

Knottnerus et al. [16] tried to take into account the interdependency of different signs and symptoms. They found that three questions regarding the history

1. Does the patient think she has a UTI?
2. Is there at least considerable pain on micturition?
3. Is there vaginal irritation?

followed by a dipstick test on nitrite and blood can help to correctly classify 73% of all patients for having a high risk (>70% probability of UC) or low risk (<30%).

A diagnosis without direct patient contact is possible and has been successfully evaluated in some studies on telephone management [19], [20], [21], [22] or using an interactive computer kiosk [23]. While this approach proved to be feasible in terms of patient satisfaction and cure rates most of the studies used a retrospective design and did not assess diagnostic accuracy.

**Smell and visual aspect of urine**

An offensive smell is a weak predictor for UTI (2.02 (1.05–3.90) [24]. Urine cloudiness is a weak predictor 2.32 (1.40–3.85) [24]. When including both symptoms in a prediction model, only urine cloudiness remained significant [24].

**Urine sample technique**

There is an ongoing debate about the ideal sample techniques. For doctor and patients alike the sampling technique should be easy to apply, convenient, reliable and with a low level of risks associated.

One can assume that contamination rates increases in the following order

a. suprapubic puncture,

b. urethral catheterization

c. midstream clean catch (after cleaning the labia before voiding using tap water, soap or disinfectants),

d. midstream urine (without cleaning before voiding)

e. random samples (without further instructions)

f. home-voided urine.

A recent systematic review [25] compared these techniques in non-pregnant women with symptoms suggesting an UTI in primary care. The authors concluded that there is no evidence to suggest that
sampling technique affects the accuracy of the microbiological diagnosis. However, the evidence is indirect and a study by Hooton et al. [26] comparing midstream collection with urethral catheterization found high correlations regarding the causative agent in UTI caused by Escherichia coli but very low correlations when Enterococci and group B streptococci were found.

As a consequence the lower specificity for causative agents in voided urine samples compared to invasive techniques will result in overdiagnosing of 5–10% healthy patients [25] but reducing the possible complications and risks of infection accompanied by invasive techniques.

**Dipstick results**

Dipsticks are among the most frequently used point of care tests in primary care. Reasons for false positive/false negative results have to be taken into account. The most useful tests included in the dipstick are test for blood, leucocytes and nitrite.

Leucocytes: Positive if leukocyteesterase is present – an indirect evidence for the presence of leucocytes.

Nitrite: Some bacteria reduce nitrate to nitrite by the help of the enzyme nitrate reductase. A positive result is dependent of a certain concentration of bacteria. False positive results for leucocytes (nitrite) occur through:

a. contamination with vaginal secretion
b. long waiting time before processing
c. colouring agents like beetroots.

False negative results for leukocytes (nitrite) occur through vitamin C, high concentration of bilirubin, glucosuria >20 g/l, proteinuria >5 g/l, boric acid, very acidic urine, infection by pathogen without the ability to produce nitratreductase i.e enterococci, staphylococci.

Bladder incubation time of more than 4 hours did not increase the UTI probability in the model developed by Knottnerus et al. [16].

**Dipslide**

A dipslide coated on each side with a different culture medium is recommended in some guidelines (NHG) and used in screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy [27], [28]. The dipslide has 98.0% sensitivity and 99.6% specificity for detecting ASB in pregnancy [27], when used to diagnose UC in daily practice sensitivity was 73% (CI 66–80%), specificity 94% (CI 88–98%) resulting in a positive (negative) predictive value of 95% [11] (CI 90–98%) and 68% (CI 60–76%) [29]. In a more recent study the added value of a dipslide was rated. The authors concluded that “dipslide appear to add little information to what is already known from history and dipstick results, implying that performance of these expensive, time-consuming tests might be abandoned” [16].

**Microscopy**

The result of microscopy is dependent on the skill of the microscoper. A positive test for pyuria and bacteriuria rules in UTI with a high probability (pooled LR for pyuria and bacteriuria positive: LR+ 37.0, LR– 0.21), in contrast a negative result for both bacteriuria and pyuria generally rules out a UTI (pooled LR for pyuria or bacteriuria positive: LR+ 4.2, LR– 0.11) [30]. Gram-staining and using phase-contrast microscopy improve the diagnostic accuracy [31], [32]. The benefit of microscopy is the rapidity and the higher diagnostic accuracy compared with dipsticks. If available microscopy should be used to clarify the diagnosis in suspected urinary tract infections.

Urine flow cytometry is a laboratory based method to standardize urine sediment analysis. The automated analyzers are capable to quantify for examples white blood counts (WBC) or bacteria. While
clinical performance with sensitivities (specificities) of 0.87 (0.67) for WBCs and 0.92 (0.60) for bacteria seems promising a systematic review and metaanalysis [33] concluded that due to methodological shortcomings further studies are needed.

**Further examination**

Physical examination is not necessary in UC and does not help to increase the diagnosis. However, when a complicated infection is suspected signs and symptoms as costovertebral angle tenderness and fever should be looked for.

Further diagnostic studies like radiological imaging or ultrasound scan are usually not indicated in UC and should be reserved for complicated infections.

**Differential diagnosis**

Sexually transmitted infections are the most important differential diagnosis in women presenting with symptoms suggestive of a UC. Especially in infections with *Chlamydia trachomatis* the clinical presentation often is indistinguishable and the diagnostic workup incomplete [34]. Presence of vaginal discharge and sexual history can be used to stratify the risk.

**4 Future perspectives**

Technological solutions like portable systems using chromatic techniques [35], “isothermal microcalorimetry” [36], “CultureStat Rapid UTI Detection System” [37] or the Flexicult [38] have been described. The impact of these technical solutions for a faster detection of bacteria and antimicrobial susceptibility or as a point of care test remains to be seen.

**5 Conclusion**

Despite technical advances in recent years the accurate patient history remains the cornerstone in assessing patients with symptoms suggestive of cystitis. Patients history is not only necessary to clarify if there is any risk for a complicated infection. Accurate history is although the most accurate predictor for diagnosing UC.
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