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Abstract

Stones composed of struvite, carbonate apatite and ammonium urate are regarded as induced by urinary tract infection (UTI) with bacteria producing the enzyme urease (mainly Proteus species) which splits urea into ammonium and carbonate ions resulting in supersaturation and alkalization of the urine. Struvite and apatite crystals form and grow to stones.

Compared to other mineral types infection induced stones (IIS) represent only a small percentage of urinary stones. Nevertheless they deserve special attention. Due to the high recurrence rate, the risk for loss of renal function and recurrent UTI, patients with IIS are classified as high risk stone formers.

IIS often form in patients with urinary obstruction, neurogenic bladder disorders, urinary diversion and indwelling catheters.

The mainstay in laboratory diagnosis is urinalysis, urine culture with antibiogram and stone analysis.

As IIS are regularly associated with UTI, fever at presentation is not uncommon. Then immediate imaging is required. Ultrasound of the urinary tract is the imaging modality to be used first, followed by non-contrast computerized tomography (NCCT). As in most IIS patients operative stone therapy is required, a contrast study is mandatory. Therefore, intravenous urography is also a viable option thus avoiding high radiation exposure associated with NCCT.

If there is a septic obstruction caused by the stone, immediate relief is a must. The definite stone removal should be postponed until the sepsis is resolved.

Since IIS often a large renal stones (>2 cm) or even complete or partial staghorn stone, the procedure of choice is mainly percutaneous nephrolithotomy, sometimes in combination with flexible scopes or shock wave lithotripsy. UTI must be treated prior to endourologic stone removal.

In all patients, perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis is recommended.

Specific metaphylaxis consists of removal of the stones as completely as possible, followed by antibiotic treatment (short or long term) and acidification of the urine (all these recommendations with a LE 3 and GR B).

1 Summary of recommendations

Table 1 shows the definitions for the level of evidence and the grade of recommendation.
1. Due to the high recurrence rate, patients with IIS are classified as high risk stone formers (EAU guidelines urolithiasis) [1].
2. The basic laboratory analysis includes urinalysis and urine culture and routine blood test like creatinine, electrolytes, uric acid, blood cell count and CRP and, if intervention is planned, blood coagulation tests (GR A). The stone analysis should be performed using a reliable method as x-ray diffraction or infrared spectroscopy. In experienced hands polarization microscopy may be used as well (LE 2; GR A).
3. As they are regularly associated with UTI, fever at presentation is not uncommon. Then immediate imaging is required (LE 4; GR A).
4. Ultrasound of the urinary tract is the imaging modality to be used first, followed by non-contrast computerized tomography (LE 1a; GR A). As in most IIS patients stone removal is required, a contrast study is necessary (LE 3; GR A). Therefore, intravenous urography is also a viable option (LE 4; GR C) thus avoiding high radiation exposure associated with NCCT.
5. In case of septic obstruction immediate relief is mandatory (LE 1b; GR A). The definite stone therapy should be postponed until the sepsis is controlled (LE 1b; GR A).
6. Since IIS often a large renal stones (>2 cm) or even complete or partial staghorn stone, the procedure of choice is mainly percutaneous nephrolithotomy, sometimes in combination with flexible ureterorenoscopy/pyeloscopy or shock wave lithotripsy (GR B). UTI must be treated prior to endourologic stone removal (LE 1b; GR A). In all patients, perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis is recommended (LE 1b; GR A).
7. Specific metaphylaxis consists of removal of the stones as completely as possible (LE 3–4; GR A), followed by antibiotic treatment (short or long term) and acidification of the urine (all these recommendations with a LE 3 and GR B). Urease inhibitors are recommended (LE 1b; GR A). However they are not available in most countries.

Table 1: Level of evidence and grade of recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of evidence (LE)</th>
<th>Type of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from at least one randomised trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from one well-designed controlled study without randomization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well-designed quasi-experimental study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions or clinical experience of respected authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of recommendation</td>
<td>Nature of recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Based on clinical studies of good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendations and including at least one randomised trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Based on well-conducted clinical studies, but without randomised clinical trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Made despite the absence of directly applicable clinical studies of good quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Introduction

The association of UTI and urolithiasis is a common phenomenon. Holmgren et al. [2] reported positive urine cultures in about 25% of their urinary stone patients. Association, however, does not necessarily imply a causal relationship. UTI can also occur as a consequence of stones.

The term “infection induced urinary stones (IIS)”, however, means that there is not only an association of stones and urinary tract infections (UTI) but a causal relationship. Already more than a hundred years ago, T.R. Brown [3] reported that some bacteria caused the formation of urinary stones consisting of calcium and magnesium phosphate. Sumner [4] isolated the bacterial enzyme urease which splits urea into ammonium and carbonate ions resulting in supersaturation and alkalization of the urine. Struvite and apatite clouds develop in which crystal growth is supported. These crystals deposit on the surface of the bacteria. Within the bacteria mainly carbonate apatite crystals form. After lysis of the bacteria, these crystals serve as new germs for stone formation (Figure 1) [5].

Today, three stone types are regarded as induced by UTI: struvite (magnesium ammonium phosphate), carbonate apatite (termed also carbapatite or hydroxyapatite) and monoammonium urate [6], [7], [8].

3 Methods

A systematic literature search was performed for the last 30 years in PUBMED/MEDLINE, Cochrane etc. with the following key words: infection, urinary stones, infection induced urolithiasis, infection induced nephrolithiasis, and without limitations. A total of 231 publications were identified, which were screened by title and abstract. After exclusion of duplicates a total of 40 were included into the review (analysis), supplemented by citations of known to the author.
4 Results

**Epidemiology**

The current literature shows that in industrialized countries the frequency of IIS dropped considerably during the last decades. Struvite as a major component is found in 5–15% of all stones [9], [10], [11]. Recently, in a series of almost 28,000 stone analyses Daudon et al. [12] reported a 3.5 and 12.1 percentage of struvite containing stone in males and females respectively. In the eighties of the last century, the percentages were 5.5 and 22.3 respectively.

Contrary to these figures, the percentage of IIS in developing countries is much higher ranging up to 45% [13], [14]. This reflects the fact that UTI are still more common in these countries and antibiotics are less available. Nevertheless, the percentage of IIS is also declining in these countries [15].

IIS are more common in women, infants and elderly persons [16], [17].

**Pathogenesis**

A UTI caused by urease producing bacteria is the mainstay of IIS [18]. This can be shown by the fact that urease production could be found in all patients with a struvite/apatite percentage of more than 80% in their stones [19].

The most important producers of this enzyme are Proteus, Morganella and Providencia species, less commonly found are urease-producing strains in Staphylococcus, Klebsiella, and Pseudomonas species [5], [19]. Furthermore, Corynebacterium urealyticum and Ureaplasma urealyticum also split urea [20], [21], [22].

The pathogenesis of struvite, which is the most frequent IIS, has been outlined already above (see Introduction).

Only in a minority of IIS carbapatite or ammonium urate are the main or solitary components.

Carbapatite cannot only form as metabolic stones (e.g. hypophosphatemia, Dent’s disease, Nephrocalcinosis) but also induced by UTI [12]. A high carbonation rate (ratio between the intensity of the ν3 band of carbonate and phosphate ions in FTIR spectroscopy) of carbapatite is suggestive for an infection induced pathway [23]. In these stones, bacterial imprints have been found by scanning electron microscopy. A carbonation rate of 15% has been proposed as a threshold [24]. Nevertheless, a high carbonation rate is not a prove for infection since in a another series it was only weakly predictive for a positive bacterial culture from the stone [25].

Ammonium urate stones are very rare today (0.2%). They form when the urine is supersaturated with both uric acid and ammonium ions resulting in alkaline urine. This may be due either to an UTI by urea-splitting bacteria or nutrition very poor in phosphate.

**Clinical presentation**

Principally, patients with IIS can present with the same symptoms (acute flank pain, renal colic, diffuse back pain) as patients with other stone types. As IIS may grow much faster than non-infected stones, usually they are larger at first presentation (Figure 2) and acute flank pain is not so common. Symptoms of UTI may accompany or precede.
IIS mainly form in conditions with a disturbed urinary flow as urinary tract obstruction on all levels (e.g. ureteropelvic junction obstruction, subvesical obstruction), neurogenic bladder dysfunction and urinary diversion. Another important risk factor is the presence of any indwelling catheter (e.g. urethral, suprapubic, ureteral, and nephrostomy). All these conditions promote UTI. In case of indwelling catheters, the formation of a biofilm additionally facilitates crystallization, crystal adherence and stone formation [26], [27].

**Diagnosis**

Diagnostic imaging is more or less the same as for every other stone. As they are regularly associated with UTI, fever at presentation is not uncommon. Then immediate imaging is required (LE 4; GR A).

Ultrasound of the urinary tract is the imaging modality to be used first [1], [28], [29] (Figure 3). For further evaluation different radiological modalities are available. In acute flank pain, the EAU guidelines recommend to perform a non-contrast computed tomography (NCCT) as it is the method with the highest accuracy (LE 1a, GR A). [1]. However, it has to be considered that NCCT goes along with a quite high radiation dose. This dose may be lowered by using low-dose protocols. However, this is not a standardized protocol and the resolution of the NCCT is decreased considerably by lowering the radiation dose. This has to be considered especially in children. Kuhns a.o. calculated that ratio of the risk for abdominal and pelvic cancer due to a single NCCT for stones to the risk of a naturally occurring cancer over the lifetime of a child is estimated to be 2/1,000 to 3/1,000 [30].
NCCT allows for a rough estimation of the stone composition. Dependant on the mineralogical composition, IIS have a density between 400 and 1,000 Hounsfield Units [31], [32], [33], [34]. However, resolution also suffers in regard to stone composition (measurement of Hounsfield Units).

If stone removal is planned – and this is almost always the case in patients with IIS – a contrast study is necessary as the anatomy of the collecting system and renal function have to be assessed (LE 3; GR A) [1]. The EAU guidelines prefer (with a low level of evidence: 3, and low grade of recommendation: C) a contrast enhanced CT scan which means, however, a very high radiation dose of up to 10 mSv. In my opinion, an intravenous urography – which may be used also as a second choice according to the guidelines – should be preferred [29], [35] (Figure 4).
Figure 4: Intravenous urography in a child presenting with bilateral staghorn calculi consisting of struvite and apatite.
Apart from imaging, biochemical modalities are required as well. The basic laboratory analysis includes urinalysis and urine culture and routine blood test like creatinine, electrolytes, uric acid, blood cell count and CRP \([1]\) and, if intervention is planned, blood coagulation tests (GR A). The stone analysis should be performed using a reliable method as x-ray diffraction or infrared spectroscopy. In experienced hands polarization microscopy may be used as well (LE 2; GR A) \([1]\).

In patients with IIS, urine culture is a mainstay as these stones are induced by urease producing bacteria. A resistogram (antibiogram) is indispensable to optimize the antimicrobial therapy. A rapid urease dip-and-react test (urea-indol medium) as it is used now mainly for Helicobacter pylori diagnosis has been demonstrated to be very sensitive for urease testing in urine \([5]\).

In patients with ammonium urate stones, a complete metabolic work-up including uric acid and phosphate measurements in blood and urine should be performed as disturbances in uric acid and phosphate metabolism may play a role for the formation of these stones.

**Therapy**

Principally, stone removal does not differ from other stones. The current state of the art is delineated in the EAU guidelines \([1]\).

Since IIS often a large renal stones (>2 cm) or even complete or partial staghorn stone, the procedure of choice is mainly percutaneous nephrolithotomy, sometimes in combination with flexible ureterorenoscopy/pyeloscopy or shock wave lithotripsy (GR B). Due to the complex anatomy in these staghorn stones, stone free rates range from 50–80% \([36], [37]\). Therefore, in a quite large number of patients residual fragments are left behind which are the main risk factor for stone re-growth and recurrence of UTI and IIS \([38]\).

In IIS UTI and obstruction are more common than in other stone types. Therefore, urgent relief of the obstruction (decompression) is more frequently required. For this purpose, percutaneous nephrostomy or ureteral stents may be used (LE 1b; GR A). The definitive stone therapy (e.g. percutaneous nephrolithotomy) should be postponed until the sepsis is resolved (LE 1b; GR A) \([1]\).

In any case, in patients with (suspected) IIS, an antibiotic treatment should be started before stone removal (LE 1b; GR A). In all patients, perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis is recommended (LE 1b; GR A).

It has been shown by several authors that IIS stones may be dissolved by an irrigation therapy using Renacidin (10% hemiacridin) \([39]\). As it is a very time consuming and cumbersome procedure using nephrostomy and ureteral catheters and as it is not available in many countries it is no longer in use today.

**Metaphylaxis (secondary prevention)**

IIS stones can grow rapidly within weeks and have a high risk for recurrence \([9], [40]\). In case of residual fragments after stone therapy and/or persisting urinary tract infection they have a very high recurrence rate up to 70% \([38], [41]\). Struvite stone patients have a higher risk for loss of renal function \([42]\). For these reasons, patients with IIS are classified as high risk patients and need a special metaphylaxis \([1]\).

Adequate metaphylaxis (i.e. complete removal of all fragments, consequent antibiotic therapy) can reduce the recurrence rate to 10% \([43]\).
Therefore, the first goal is to remove the stones as completely as possible (LE 3–4; GR A). This should be followed by antibiotic treatment. The duration is still a matter of debate (short/long term). Furthermore an acidification of the urine (methionine 250–500 mg tid or ammonium chloride 1 g bid/tid) is recommended (all these recommendations with a LE 3 and GR B). Urease inhibitors like acetohydroxamic acid, the only substances studied in randomized prospective trials, have been shown to reduce the recurrence rate very effectively (LE 1b; GR A) [44], [45], [46], [47], [48]. However, due to considerable side effects, they are not available in most countries.

Recently, a pilot study using a D-mannose containing product (Cystoman®, ABI Pharmaceutical) has been used for preventing recurrences in IIS. The first results were promising, however, a definite assessment is not possible as there was no control group [49].

In ammonium urate stone formers, metabolic anomalies (e.g. hyperuricosuria) should be corrected by appropriate measures (diet, Allopurinol). For these recommendations, no randomized trials are available (LE 3–4) [1].

5 Further research

Concerning stone removal and secondary prevention (recurrence), unfortunately no real progress has been made in the last decade.

Further research should concentrate improving complete stone removal increasing the stone free rate. So far stone free rates in large IIS (50–80%) a far from being optimal. As residual fragments are the main risk factor for recurrence it is crucial too improve the stone free rates.

Also the development of less toxic urease inhibitors is an important goal as these are causal agents and the only substances with proven effectiveness in randomized studies.

6 Conclusions

Although IIS make only a quite small share of urinary stones in industrialized countries today and its incidence has decreased, they deserve closer attention as IIS stone formers are at high risk for recurrence, live threatening infections and loss of renal function.

Efforts should be made to improve the surgical results in terms of stone free rate. The current stone free rates of 50–80% in large IIS are a big problem as residual fragments are the main risk factor for re-growth of stones and recurrences.

Medical treatment and secondary prevention (metaphylaxis) should be improved as well. The most important issue is to prompt physicians to counsel and educate their patients adequately. Unfortunately, the majority of general practitioners have insufficient knowledge on stone metaphylaxis and attitude to counsel their patients [50]. Only one third of recurrent patients treated in tertiary referral centre for stone removal received adequate evaluation and metaphylaxis according to guidelines [51]. Many physicians believe that their patients would prefer to suffer from stone episodes every two years than to accept drugs for metaphylaxis. However, 88% and 92% rasp. of all stone patients would prefer to take a drug daily than to suffer from a recurrent stone episode or to undergo a new intervention for a stone [52]. Therefore, educating physicians and patients is a major goal which urgently should be pursued by our urology associations.
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